

LITTLE LONG LAC GOLD MINES LIMITED

LITTLE LONG LAC, ONTARIO

ADDRESS ALL COMMUNICATIONS
TO THE COMPANY

C O P Y

April 15, 1940

Mr. Alan Barton, Manager,
Little Long Lac Gold Mines, Ltd.,
LITTLE LONG LAC, ONTARIO

Dear Sir:

Re: Kenriola Shipment of
Kirkland Subbeam Sands

The following figures submitted by Duff
from sampling and Assaying at Kenriola:

Dry tons Assay Net Ozs.

3.839 33.77 129.649

The above shipment was sampled and assayed
at Little Long Lac with the following results:

3.8215 26.70 102.027

A second sampling and assaying returned:

3.8215 28.83 110.164

The average of the three sets of samples
returns:

113.947 Net ozs. contained in the shipment.

Yours very truly

LITTLE LONG LAC GOLD MINES LIMITED

Abbot Kenick

AR/G

LITTLE LONG LAC GOLD MINES LIMITED

LITTLE LONGLAC, - ONTARIO

ADDRESS ALL COMMUNICATIONS
TO THE COMPANY

April 15, 1940

Mr. C. W. Dowsett,
2810 Bank of Commerce Bldg.,
25 King St. W.,
TORONTO, Ontario

Dear Mr. Dowsett:

The following are the results of sampling of Sunbeam Kirkland concentrates, under Abbott's supervision:

First Sampling	-	Total ozs.	-	102.027
Second	"	"	"	110.164

The second sampling was done by dumping not more than 5 bags and from this taking a half pail as a sample. This was the case of say the ball mill sands, where there was a considerable quantity.

In the case, where there were only one or two bags, they were dumped in a like manner, and a very large sample obtained.

I question whether Rogers sampled every bag in this manner, if so why did they not remove the steel balls present. Several balls of 3" diameter, also nuts and bolts, were found.

I believe, under the circumstances that an average of our two sets of assays and Rogers should be taken, that is the total of 102.027, 110.164, 129.649 divided by three.

I am sure Rogers would not buy from Little Long Lac, some 100 ozs of gold, entirely on our assays. Since we are allowing them a recovery of 92%, and also, since it is difficult to sample the material, this seems to me to be fair.

I am also enclosing a letter from Duff. He shows quite clearly that he is not too sure of the value, if he was, why didn't he put it through the circuit. After all that is all we can do, that is dump it in the circuit. Amalgamation is out of the question.

59115
59116
59117

59115
59116
59117

AAB/G
Encl:

Mine Manager

LITTLE LONG LAC GOLD MINES LIMITED

Very sincerely yours

I can only suggest further that you discuss with Rogers our views on this.

April 15, 1940

Page 2

ADDRESS ALL COMMUNICATIONS
TO THE COMPANY

LITTLE LONG LAC GOLD MINES LIMITED
LITTLE LONG LAC, ONTARIO

ACCOUNT WITH SUNBEAM-KIRKLAND GOLD MINES LIMITED - MARCH 1940

Estimated Net owing Kenricola - March 1st, 1940	\$ 3,945.93	
Revenue payable to Kenricola as per contract - 6 days (March)	<u>2,800.00</u>	
	6,745.93	
Less: Estimated Bullion Recovery - March	3,046.12	
Credit of Gold Inventory in Tanks	434.78	
Est. Gold in Concentrates being shipped to Little Long Lac	<u>4,991.48</u>	X
	8,472.38	X
Estimated Net owing Sunbeam-Kirkland	1,726.45	X

X From these figures will be deducted tailings loss in treatment.